

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/747,856 | 12/29/2003 | Joseph N. Maguire | 902_066 NP | 2749 |
| 25191 | 7590 02/15/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BURR & BROWN | | | HAM, SEUNGSOOK | |
| PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2817 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/747,856 | MAGUIRE ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Seungsook Ham | 2817 | | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Failt Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J | une 2004. | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · — | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | · · | • • | | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application |). | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>13-29 and 34-46</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-6, 8-12, 30-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>3 and 7</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | • | | | | |
| 9)🖾 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/a | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑ |] objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies Copie | ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been | application No | | | |
| * ; | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not | received. | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | |
| 1) 🔯 Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Info | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/29/03</u> . | _ | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/747,856

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraphs [0003] and [0028], the status of the pending US applications should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 13, line 1 (p. 32), "form" should be corrected to –from--. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-12 and 30-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,342 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are the same except in semantics. It should be noted that "at least one ground post having at least a first portion having an outer dimension that is greater than an inner diameter of said at least one plated ground hole" in the pending claims 1 and 30 is read on the patented claims 3 and 4, "wherein said pin ("the first portion" in the pending claim 1) extend above an upper surface...and a tip portion thereof is deformed to provide mechanical and electrical contact with said plated through-hole" and "said pin has a recess formed therein to assist in mechanical deformation of said tip portion thereof" (see figs. 15C, 16A-16E). The mechanical deformation recited in the patented claims 3 and 4 is achieved by the first portion (i.e., pin 112, see fig. 15C) having an outer dimension that is greater than an inner diameter of the at least one plated ground hole 114 (see fig. 15C).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-29 and 34-46 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claims 13-29 and 34-46 are deemed to be directed to an nonobvious improvement over the invention patented in Pat. No. 6,674,342 B2. In claim 13, "at least one second post member extending from a first end thereof away from said inner surface ... said longitudinal direction" is an nonobvious improvement over the patent '342 and does not shown or suggested by prior art. Claims 34 and 42 also recite the similar limitation as in claim 13.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoshie et al. (US '087) and Lamb (US Pat. Appl. Pub. '101) disclose a filter assembly having a filter circuit disposed in a circuit board and disposed inside of a housing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh